

Cherwell District Council

Accounts, Audit and Risk Committee

23 March 2016

<p>Third Quarter Risk Review 2015-16 and update on Development of Risk & Opportunities Management Strategy</p>

Report of Head of Transformation

This report is public

Purpose of report

To update the Committee on the management of Strategic, Corporate and Partnership risks during the third quarter of 2015/16 and to report the progress made on the 2015/16 Risk & Opportunities Management Strategy review and Risk Training programme.

1.0 Recommendations

The meeting is recommended to:

- 1.1 review the quarter three Strategic, Corporate and Partnership Risk Register and identify any issues for further consideration or referral to Executive.
- 1.2 note that there have been changes to four common risks' scores; two increases and two decreases.
- 1.3 note the progress made on the 2015/16 Risk and Opportunities Management Strategy review and the Risk Training programme.

2.0 Introduction

- 2.1 The Council details its approach to managing risk in its Risk and Opportunities Management Strategy and sets out the framework for managing risks of all types.
- 2.2 Risks are reviewed on a quarterly basis, undertaken by the Accounts, Audit and Risk Committee and Joint Management Team (JMT). This takes the form of reviewing the strategic risk register. Operational risks are reviewed at the departmental level but can be escalated to the strategic risk register if required. Risks may be identified and added to the strategic risk register at any point during the year. A formal review is undertaken annually to refresh the strategic risk register and identify any new or emerging risks or opportunities.

3.0 Report Details

3.1 **Underlying Principles:** the following principles continue to be used for the management of risk

Core Risks: these are the core set of strategic and high level risks that are recorded in the Council's Risk Register and are managed by JMT. They are monitored by the Accounts, Audit and Risk Committee and JMT on a quarterly basis. These risks are defined as strategic, corporate and partnership risks (see 'types of risk' below).

Residual/Net Risk: this is a measure of impact and likelihood after the proposed mitigating actions or controls have been taken into account. This is given a score using a 5x5 matrix which can then range from 1 to 25, with 25 being the highest level a risk can score. Changes in residual risk are highlighted in the risk monitoring reports to draw attention to any increase or decrease in risk and any new controls required.

Types of Risk: the Council distinguishes between types of risk and those defined as strategic, corporate or partnership are held on the Council's core strategic risk register whilst operational risks are managed at the service and directorate level. Our definitions are as follows:

- Strategic risks that are significant in size and duration and will impact on the reputation and performance of the Council as a whole and in particular on its ability to deliver its four strategic priorities.
- Corporate risks to corporate systems or processes that underpin the organisation's overall governance, operation and ability to deliver services.
- Partnership risks to a partnership meeting its objectives or delivering agreed services/ projects.
- Operational risks specific to the delivery of individual services/service performance or specific projects.

3.2 **The Councils' Risk and Opportunities Management Strategy** was fully reviewed and redeveloped during 2011/12 to take into account the new joint management arrangements within Cherwell District Council and South Northamptonshire Council. This strategy ensures that the joint management team use a single approach to risk management. Risks are clearly identified as Cherwell, South Northants, shared, or 3 Way (to reflect current shared working with Stratford District Council) and managed to reflect this status.

3.3 This Strategy is currently being updated to take into account feedback from the Council's Auditors, Price Waterhouse Cooper and will be presented with 2016/17 Q1 report.

3.4 **Risk Register 2015/16:** As part of the business planning process, all strategic, corporate and partnerships were reviewed and updated by JMT to ensure its contents reflect current priorities and circumstances. The register contains 14 risks specific to CDC and 18 risks which are common to both.

3.5 **Third Quarter Risk Review** and associated heat maps are attached as appendix 1 and 2 respectively. The register has been reviewed by the risk owners and members of JMT and each risk has commentary included.

3.6 The following table summarises changes to Cherwell District Council's risks and also risks common to both Authorities during the quarter:-

Risk Type/Ref	Risk Name	Comments/Actions
Strategic S16	Joint Working 2 and 3 way (Common)	<p>↑ Increase in Residual probability score (3 to 5) Although controls and actions are in place and working effectively, the risk rating has increased to reflect:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stratford District Council's decision not progress the three way partnership • Two way programme will result in less savings than three way programme • Uncertainty for ICT and Legal services <p>Two way governance and progress is in place and on track so risks to the delivery of the two way programme are low and limited to where we interface with SDC, i.e. on legal and ICT services. Reviews are on-going and will be completed by February 2016 to ensure business as usual is maintained.</p>
Corporate C13	Emergency Planning (Common)	<p>↑ Increase in Residual probability score (2 to 3) Due to uncertainty following Northamptonshire County Council's withdrawal of Emergency Planning services, residual score has increased. Actions to mitigate this risk are being reviewed and will be reported in Q4.</p>
Corporate C14	Safeguarding (Common)	<p>↓ Decrease in Residual probability score (3 to 2) Following implementation of recommendations from the Internal Review of Safeguarding, scores for both risks have been decreased this quarter.</p>
Corporate C15	Safeguarding Children (Common)	<p>"See it Report it" is set to go live at SNC in February 2016.</p> <p>↓ Decrease in Residual probability score (2 to 1)</p>

3.7 **Operational risks** are not included in the strategic, corporate and partnerships risk register. These risks are managed and monitored locally at the directorate and service level and are identified through the development of service plans and project risk logs. An operational risk review is currently underway aligned with the Service Planning process. A full operational risk register will be reported to the Committee with Q4/year-end report.

3.8 Issues arising from operational or project risks may be escalated via the performance and risk reports to JMT. In the event of this occurring they would also be reported to the Accounts Audit and Risk Committee in their quarterly reports.

- 3.9 **Risk Training** for staff with responsibility for Strategic, Operational and/or Project Risks, will be delivered by Price Waterhouse Cooper on 14/15 April 2016. In addition, a Risk Computer Based Training (CBT) module is to be developed providing on-going risk training for new employees and refresher training. This training will be linked to the Induction process.

4.0 Conclusion and Reasons for Recommendations

- 4.1 The following options have been identified. The approach in the recommendations is believed to be the best way forward.

Option 1 To support the current approach and having considered the Strategic, Corporate and Partnership risks, report any concerns arising to the Executive.

Option 2 To reject the current approach and proposals and report any concerns arising to the Executive.

5.0 Consultation

- 5.1 Both CDC Accounts, Audit and Risk Committee and SNC Audit Committee have been consulted on the development of the Risk Strategy

6.0 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

- 6.1 The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below.

Option 1: To reject the current approach and proposals and recommend an alternative approach to risk management. This option is not recommended as it departs from the Council's stated approach to risk management as set out in its Risk and Opportunities Management Strategy.

7.0 Implications

Financial and Resource Implications

- 7.1 There are no specific financial implications arising from this report.

Comments checked by:

Paul Sutton, Head of Finance and Procurement

Tel: 0300 0030 106 E-mail: Paul.Sutton@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

Legal Implications

- 7.2 There are no specific legal implications arising from this report,

Comments checked by:
Kevin Lane, Head of Law and Governance,
Tel: 0300 0030 107 Email: kevin.lane@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

8.0 Decision Information

Wards Affected

All

Links to Corporate Plan and Policy Framework

All strategic priorities

Lead Councillor

Councillor Barry Wood
Leader of the Council

Document Information

Appendix No	Title
Appendix 1	Quarter 3 2015/16 Risk Register
Appendix 2	Quarter 3 2015/16 Heat Map
Background Papers	
None	
Report Author	Louise Tustian, Senior Performance & Improvement Officer
Contact Information	Louise.Tustian2@CherwellandSouthnorthants.gov.uk 01295 221786